

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/460,197	460,197 12/13/1999		JOHN SPENCER CUNNINGHAM	A65-25311 2142	
128	7590	01/15/2004	,	EXAMINER	
		ERNATIONAL	NGUYEN, KEVIN M		
101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2674	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/460,197	CUNNINGHAM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin M. Nguyen	2674					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EVRIPE 2 MONTH/	S) EDOM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oc	<u>ctober 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This a	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-36,38-46 and 48-52</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-36,38-46 and 48-52</u> is/are rejected	Claim(s) <u>33-36,38-46 and 48-52</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received	on No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language prov	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(et sentence of the specification or visional application has been rec	e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the		•					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/460,197

Art Unit: 2674

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 10/23/2003, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A NEW GROUND OF REJECTION IS set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 33-36, 38-46, 48-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoddard et al (US 3,665,454) in view of Brown (US 6,047,123).

As to claims 33, 38, 43, and 48, Stoddard et al teach a computer system for driving a plurality of displays of different types associated with a method comprising a single display generator (13) drives for driving a plurality of displays of different types,

Application/Control Number: 09/460,197

Art Unit: 2674

strokes for all writing rates on both raster displays devices (20/D1, 20/D2) (figure 1, column 4, lines 50-54); a display selector (17) (switching between displays in real time, column 3, line 1). Stoddard et al fails to teach linking generated code from said formats to a standard graphics library. However, Brown teaches a related computer system which includes the shell procedures are preferably implemented as dynamically linked libraries (DLL's) that are linked with the applications program 30 prior to library 32 (figure 2, column 4, lines 42-46). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a standard graphic library 32 taught by Brown for Stoddard et al's computer system because this would provide improved methods of call tracing that facilitate debugging, tuning or optimizing library usage and, particularly, graphics library usage (column 2, lines 6-9 of Brown), this would be compatible with other computer system 44 (column 9, lines 10-20 of Brown).

As to claims 34, 39, 44, 49, Brown teaches an Open GL graphics library (column 3, lines 62-64).

As to claims 35, 42, 45, 52, Brown teaches the source code (40) (generated code formats, column 9, lines 7-9).

As to claims 36, 40, 46, 50, Brown teaches RAM (14) (figure 1, column 3, lines 23).

As to claims 41, 51, Stoddard et al teaches a display selector (17) (switching between displays in real time, column 3, line 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/460,197

Art Unit: 2674

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Kevin M. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-6209**. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THU from 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reached on **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2674

KN January 10, 2004

**C**t .....